

2905 Longshore Avenue
Philadelphia, Pa. 19149

February 2, 1967

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

The copy of the World Journal Tribune magazine concerning you and the other Warren Commission Critics that I read is not mine. As you know, I work in the Bulletin Library, and the paper was mailed there, where I read that article one evening after working hours. One of my friends there has a very interesting job. All he does is read all the papers and answer questions which the public calls or writes in about. He knows of my tremendous interest in the Kennedy assassination, so if he sees an article he thinks I'd be interested in, he tells me about it, and that's how I happen to see these things. If it were my own paper, I'd be more than glad to send the magazine to you, but since it is the property of the Library, I cannot. You'd be very interested in it, I think, since it ridicules you personally. I believe I gave you the date in my last letter. I'm sure it was Jan. 22nd. If you still have my letter, you can check. Or, if you want me to, I'll look it up next week and let you know. I'd send for it if I were you. It is none of my business, but I think if I were you, I'd not let it pass. Wouldn't legal action bring your cause to the light which it is now denied? This article refers to you as a paranoid. Maybe I'm no lawyer, but I would think such a statement is actionable. If I am right, how could you lose? And, wouldn't you gain?

I am enclosing a Bulletin article on the Lane-Specter debate over the BEC. Since it states that Specter won, I wonder why they buried it on page 33? I get pretty frustrated reading of Specter's victory over Lane. Who the hell is Lane? Anyone who makes even a careless check on Lane's writings will see that he rivals the Warren Commission itself in his selectivity and outright lies. Sure, Specter can win a debate with him. I think I could. Why the hell don't they arrange a real debate - let Specter face you! I don't know. You must be a very calm person. It is you who are involved. Yet, I find myself frustrated beyond words at the lack of notice you get all around. And, if I am frustrated about it, how can you be otherwise? It is unfair and stupid. Maybe I am just naive, but I never could have believed before how the powers that be will go out of their way to avoid confronting the truth. Surely they know of your knowledge and your sincerity. If I know, how can they not know? Yet, they avoid your help like the plague. I simply am unable to grasp the meaning of it.

Over the weekend, I will play my tapes through - the ones of the assassination. Offhand, I feel sure I do not have the "patsy" statement. I do have Oswald's denial of guilt - but you have printed this verbatim in "Whitewash". I have many hours of tapes, though, so I might have it and have forgotten it. Therefore, I will spend most of the day checking. If I have what you want, I'll send you a recorded copy. But, I'm afraid I won't have it, since I only remember reading about the "patsy" statement...I don't recall actually hearing Oswald say it.

As for the 8mm movie film, I thank you very much for giving me the address. Of course, at the price you gave me of \$24.95, it is out. You probably are aware that I can't afford it. I do thank you very much for being so prompt in giving me the information, though.

Enclosed is the tape of the Oswald radio broadcast, and a few words from me on the end. You are perfectly welcome to keep it, but if you have no interest in it, you can send it back if you wish. It is yours if you want it, though. I'd be glad to be able to do something for you for a change.

Until next time, I remain,

Your admirer,

Jack